

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT PUBLIC HEARING

Thursday, April 15, 2021
Campbell Town Hall
6:00 P.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Travis Suiter, Tim Larson, Mike Solberg

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Travis Suiter called the meeting to order at 6:00 P.M. Let the record show that this meeting was called in full compliance with the requirements of the Wisconsin Open Meetings Law.

PUBLIC HEARING

APPEAL NO. 01-2021 Michelle Nowlan, 75 Hinkley Road. Permit denied to retain a 10' x 12' attached deck that lies within the required 8' setback from the side yard lot line AND lies partially within the required 25' setback from the right-of-way of Hinkley Road.

Appearing In favor: Jim Squire, 75 Hinkley Road

1. Reviewed points made in application.
2. Submitted photos showing neighbor's retaining wall and erosion of floating wood deck.

Appearing in opposition: None

END OF HEARING

Discussion:

Nowlan had no reason for not getting permit for deck and accessory building. Deck construction occurred in 2019 when existing deck and storage room were replaced by currently existing deck in question and remote detached accessory building, per Nowlan. Nowlan did get building permit in 2013 for work done then. Board thought that concrete slab patio would better address erosion and water deterioration over time. Under Town ordinances a slab-like patio is allowable.

Motion by Larson to DENY APPEAL #01-2021, seconded by Suiter. Motion carried 3-0.

PUBLIC HEARING

APPEAL NO. 02-2021 Joseph Bissen, 313 Bissen Place. Permit denied to construct a 15' x 16' attached screen porch that would lie partially within the required 25' setback from the rear yard property line.

Appearing In favor: Joseph Bissen, 313 Bissen Place

1. Reviewed points in application.
2. Summarized by saying that they don't use existing patio because of mosquitoes and that there would be no future development at adjacent park.

Appearing in opposition: None

END OF HEARING

Discussion:

Bissen has no interest in building an 8' deep porch which would conform to ordinances.

When asked how the porch would be built, Bissen showed construction plans. Walls were stud walls with adjustable glass windows and screen. When asked why he would insulate the walls of a screen porch as shown in the plans, he said the insulation was shown in error.

Board discussed ways to keep the screen porch from turning into a fully developed room over time. Such development would then place the main structure too close to the property line resulting in a non-conforming structure.

Motion by Larson to DENY APPEAL #02-2021, seconded by Suiter. Motion carried 2-1.

Motion by Suiter to adjourn, seconded by Larson. Motion carried 3-0.

Respectfully submitted,

Tim Larson

Attached:

Two photos submitted re: Nowlan #1-2021.